

AN ALGEBRAIC PROPERTY OF AN ISOMETRY BETWEEN THE GROUPS OF INVERTIBLE ELEMENTS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

OSAMU HATORI

ABSTRACT. We show that if T is an isometry (as metric spaces) between the invertible groups of unital Banach algebras, then T is extended to a surjective real-linear isometry up to translation between the two Banach algebras. Furthermore if the underlying algebras are closed unital standard operator algebras, $(T(e_A))^{-1}T$ is extended to a surjective real algebra isomorphism; if T is a surjective isometry from the invertible group of a unital commutative Banach algebra onto that of a unital semisimple Banach algebra, then $(T(e_A))^{-1}T$ is extended to a surjective isometrical real algebra isomorphism between the two underlying algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

According to the definition the metric or the topological, and the algebraic structures of a Banach algebra are connected with each other. In the actual situation these structures are tightly connected in the sense that some structure restores another one, for certain Banach algebras. The multiplication in a $C(X)$ -space is restored by the structure as a Banach space; the Banach-Stone theorem states that the existence of an isometric isomorphism as Banach spaces from the Banach algebra $C(X)$ of the complex valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X onto another one $C(Y)$ implies that Y is homeomorphic to X , hence $C(X)$ is isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras to $C(Y)$. Several generalizations including in [5, 6, 7, 8] are investigated.

Our main concern here is with the algebraic structure of isometries between the invertible groups (the groups of all the invertible elements) of unital Banach algebras: is an (metric-space) isometry between the invertible groups of unital (semisimple) Banach algebras multiplicative or antimultiplicative, or preserving the square? Note that a unital surjective isometry

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B48, 46B04.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebras, isometries, groups of the invertible elements.

The author was partly supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, The Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

between unital semisimple commutative Banach algebras need not be multiplicative even if the given isometry is assumed to be *complex-linear*. We mainly considered commutative Banach algebras in [2]. In this paper we investigate with or without assuming being commutative, and we show that a unital isometry from the invertible group in a closed unital standard operator algebras onto another one is multiplicative or antimultiplicative. We also show that a unital isometry from the invertible group of a unital commutative Banach algebra onto that of a unital semisimple Banach algebra is multiplicative. The hypothesis that the latter Banach algebra is semisimple is essential (see Example 3.3).

2. EXTENSION OF ISOMETRIES

In this section we show that an isometry between the groups of the invertible elements in unital Banach algebras is extended to an real-linear map up to translation between the two Banach algebras of the form of a real-linear isometry followed by adding a radical element.

We begin by showing a local Mazur-Ulam theorem, which was proved in [2], with a proof for the sake of convenience.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ be real normed spaces, $\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2$ non-empty open subsets of $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ respectively. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is a surjective isometry from $\mathcal{U}_1$ onto $\mathcal{U}_2$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{U}_1$ satisfy that $(1-r)f + rg \in \mathcal{U}_1$ for every r with $0 \leq r \leq 1$, then the equality*

$$\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{f+g}{2}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(f) + \mathcal{T}(g)}{2}$$

holds.

Proof. Let $h, h' \in \mathcal{U}_1$. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfies that $\frac{\|h-h'\|}{2} < \varepsilon$, and

$$\{u \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|u - h\| < \varepsilon, \|u - h'\| < \varepsilon\} \subset \mathcal{U}_1,$$

$$\{a \in \mathcal{B}_2 : \|a - \mathcal{T}(h)\| < \varepsilon, \|a - \mathcal{T}(h')\| < \varepsilon\} \subset \mathcal{U}_2.$$

We will show that $\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{h+h'}{2}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(h) + \mathcal{T}(h')}{2}$. Set $r = \frac{\|h-h'\|}{2}$ and let

$$L_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|u - h\| = r = \|u - h'\|\},$$

$$L_2 = \{a \in \mathcal{B}_2 : \|a - \mathcal{T}(h)\| = r = \|a - \mathcal{T}(h')\|\}.$$

Set also $c_1 = \frac{h+h'}{2}$ and $c_2 = \frac{\mathcal{T}(h) + \mathcal{T}(h')}{2}$. Then we have $\mathcal{T}(L_1) = L_2$, $c_1 \in L_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_1$, and $c_2 \in L_2 \subset \mathcal{U}_2$. Let

$$\psi_1(x) = h + h' - x \quad (x \in \mathcal{B}_1)$$

and

$$\psi_2(y) = \mathcal{T}(h) + \mathcal{T}(h') - y \quad (y \in \mathcal{B}_2).$$

Then we see that $\psi_1(c_1) = c_1$, $\psi_1(L_1) = L_1$, and $\psi_2(L_2) = L_2$. Let $Q = \psi_1 \circ \mathcal{T}^{-1} \circ \psi_2 \circ \mathcal{T}$. A simple calculation shows that

$$2\|w - c_1\| = \|\psi_1(w) - w\|, \quad (w \in L_1)$$

and

$$\|\psi_1(z) - w\| = \|\psi_1 \circ Q^{-1}(z) - Q(w)\|, \quad (z, w \in L_1)$$

hold. Applying these equations we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q^{2^{k+1}}(c_1) - c_1\| &= \|\psi_1 \circ Q^{2^{k+1}}(c_1) - c_1\| \\ &= \|\psi_1 \circ Q^{2^k}(c_1) - Q^{2^k}(c_1)\| = 2\|Q^{2^k}(c_1) - c_1\| \end{aligned}$$

hold for every nonzero integer k , where Q^{2^n} denotes the 2^n -time composition of Q . By induction we see for every non-negative integer n that

$$\|Q^{2^n}(c_1) - c_1\| = 2^{n+1}\|c_2 - \mathcal{T}(c_1)\|$$

holds. Since $Q(L_1) = L_1$ and L_1 is bounded we see that $c_2 = \mathcal{T}(c_1)$, i.e., $\mathcal{T}(\frac{h+h'}{2}) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(h)+\mathcal{T}(h')}{2}$.

We assume that f and g are as described. Let

$$K = \{(1-r)f + rg : 0 \leq r \leq 1\}.$$

Since K and $\mathcal{T}(K)$ are compact, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ with

$$d(K, \mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \mathcal{U}_1) > \varepsilon, \quad d(\mathcal{T}(K), \mathcal{B}_2 \setminus \mathcal{U}_2) > \varepsilon,$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the distance of two sets. Then for every $h \in K$ we have

$$\{u \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|u - h\| < \varepsilon\} \subset \mathcal{U}_1$$

and

$$\{b \in \mathcal{B}_2 : \|b - \mathcal{T}(h)\| < \varepsilon\} \subset \mathcal{U}_2.$$

Choose a natural number n with $\frac{\|f-g\|}{2^n} < \varepsilon$. Let

$$h_k = \frac{k}{2^n}(g - f) + f$$

for each $0 \leq k \leq 2^n$. By the first part of the proof we have

$$\mathcal{T}(h_k) + \mathcal{T}(h_{k+2}) - 2\mathcal{T}(h_{k+1}) = 0 \quad (k)$$

holds for $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 2$. For $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 4$, adding the equations (k) , 2 times of $(k+1)$, and $(k+2)$ we have

$$\mathcal{T}(h_k) + \mathcal{T}(h_{k+4}) - 2\mathcal{T}(h_{k+2}) = 0,$$

whence the equality

$$\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{f+g}{2}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(f) + \mathcal{T}(g)}{2}$$

holds by induction on n . □

Note that an isometry between open sets of Banach algebras need not be extended to a linear isometry between these Banach algebras.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{x, y\}$ be a compact Hausdorff space consisting of two points. Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{f \in C(X) : \|f\| < 1\} \cup \{f \in C(X) : \|f - f_0\| < 1\},$$

where $f_0 \in C(X)$ is defined as $f_0(x) = 0$, $f_0(y) = 10$. Suppose that

$$\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

is defined as $\mathcal{T}(f) = \tilde{f}$ if $\|f\| < 1$ and $\mathcal{T}(f) = f$ if $\|f - f_0\| < 1$, where

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} -f(t), & t = x \\ f(t), & t = y. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{T}$ is an isometry from $\mathcal{U}$ onto itself, while it cannot be extended to a real linear isometry up to translation.

Let A be a unital Banach algebra. The group of all the invertible elements in A is called the invertible group and is denoted by A^{-1} . The identity in A is denoted by e_A . The (Jacobson) radical for a given Banach algebra A is denoted by $\text{rad}(A)$. The spectrum of $a \in A$ is denoted by $\sigma(a)$ and $r(a)$ is the spectral radius for $a \in A$.

Surjective isometries between the invertible groups of unital Banach algebra is extended to a real linear isometry up to translation (Theorem 2.4).

Lemma 2.3. *Let B be a unital Banach algebra and $a \in B$. Suppose that $r(fa) = 0$ for every $f \in B^{-1}$. Then $a \in \text{rad}(B)$.*

Proof. First we will show that $\alpha a + e_B \in B^{-1}$ for every complex number α . Suppose not. There is a complex number α_0 with $\alpha_0 a + e_B \notin B^{-1}$; $-1 \in \sigma(\alpha_0 a)$. So $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ and $-\frac{1}{\alpha_0} \in \sigma(a) = \sigma(e_B a)$, hence $0 < r(e_B a)$, which contradicts to the assumption.

We will show that $a \in L$ whenever L is a maximal left ideal of B , which will force that $a \in \text{rad}(B)$. Suppose that there exists a left maximal ideal L of B with $a \notin L$. Then $L + Ba$ is a left ideal of B which properly contains L , so $L + Ba = B$ for L is a maximal left ideal. Thus there is $f \in B$ with $fa + e_B \in L$. Let α be a complex number such that $f - \alpha e_B \in B^{-1}$; such an α exists since the spectrum is a compact set. Since $\alpha a + e_B \in B^{-1}$ by

the first part of the proof,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad & (\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(f - \alpha e_B)a + e_B \\
 &= (\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(f - \alpha e_B)a + (\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(\alpha a + e_B) \\
 &= (\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(fa + e_B) \in L
 \end{aligned}$$

hold. Thus $(\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(f - \alpha e_B) + e_B$ is singular, hence

$$r((\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(f - \alpha e_B)a) > 0,$$

which is a contradiction since $(\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(f - \alpha e_B) \in B^{-1}$. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Let A and B be unital Banach algebras. Suppose that T is a surjective isometry from A^{-1} onto B^{-1} . Then there exists a surjective real-linear isometry $\tilde{T}_0$ from A onto B and $u_0 \in \text{rad}(B)$ such that $T(a) = \tilde{T}_0(a) + u_0$ for every $a \in A^{-1}$.*

Proof. Since T is an isometry, $\lim_{A^{-1} \ni a \rightarrow 0} T(a)$ exists. Let $u_0 = \lim_{A^{-1} \ni a \rightarrow 0} T(a)$.

Let f be an arbitrary element in B^{-1} . We will show that $r(fu_0) = 0$, which will force that $u_0 \in \text{rad}(B)$ by Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma(fu_0)$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. Let $c_\lambda = T^{-1}(-\lambda f^{-1})$. By Lemma 2.1

$$T\left(\frac{c_\lambda}{2}\right) = T\left(\frac{(1-s)c_\lambda + sc_\lambda}{2}\right) = \frac{T((1-s)c_\lambda) + T(sc_\lambda)}{2}$$

holds for every $0 \leq s \leq 1$. Letting $s \rightarrow 0$, we see that

$$B^{-1} \ni T\left(\frac{c_\lambda}{2}\right) = \frac{T(c_\lambda) + u_0}{2} = \frac{-\lambda f^{-1} + u_0}{2},$$

so $-\lambda + fu_0 \in B^{-1}$, which is a contradiction since $\lambda \in \sigma(fu_0)$. Thus we see that $\sigma(fu_0) = \{0\}$, or $r(fu_0) = 0$, so $u_0 \in \text{rad}(B)$ by Lemma 2.3.

Define $T_0 : A^{-1} \rightarrow B^{-1}$ by $T_0(a) = T(a) - u_0$. Since $u_0 + B^{-1} = B^{-1}$ for $u_0 \in \text{rad}(B)$ (cf. [1, p.69]), T_0 is well-defined and bijective. We will show that $T_0(-f) = -T_0(f)$ for every $f \in A^{-1}$. Let $f \in A^{-1}$. Then $-f \in A^{-1}$, and for every integer n , $-f + \frac{i}{n}f \in A^{-1}$. We also see

$$(1-r)f + r(-f + \frac{i}{n}f) \in A^{-1}$$

for every $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and every integer n . Then by Lemma 2.1

$$T_0\left(\frac{i}{2n}f\right) = T_0\left(\frac{f + (-f + \frac{i}{n}f)}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0(f) + T_0(-f + \frac{i}{n}f)}{2}$$

hold. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$(2.2) \quad T_0(-f) = -T_0(f).$$

Next we will show that

$$(2.3) \quad T_0\left(\frac{f}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0(f)}{2}$$

holds for every $f \in A^{-1}$. Let $f \in A^{-1}$. Then for every $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ and every $0 \leq r \leq 1$

$$(1-r)f + r\varepsilon f \in A^{-1}.$$

Hence $T_0\left(\frac{f+\varepsilon f}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0(f)+T_0(\varepsilon f)}{2}$ holds by Lemma 2.1, then letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the equation (2.3) holds.

Let $f \in A^{-1}$. Suppose that $T_0(kf) = kT_0(f)$ holds for a positive integer k . Then

$$T_0\left(\frac{f+kf}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0(f)+T_0(kf)}{2} = \frac{(k+1)T_0(f)}{2}$$

and by (2.3)

$$T_0\left(\frac{f+kf}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0((k+1)f)}{2}$$

holds, hence by induction $T_0(nf) = nT_0(f)$ holds for every positive integer n . Then for any pair of positive integers m and n ,

$$mT_0\left(\frac{n}{m}f\right) = T_0\left(m\frac{n}{m}f\right) = T_0(nf) = nT_0(f)$$

holds, hence $T_0\left(\frac{n}{m}f\right) = \frac{n}{m}T_0(f)$ holds. By continuity of T_0 , $T_0(rf) = rT_0(f)$ holds for every $f \in A^{-1}$ and $r > 0$. Henceforth

$$(2.4) \quad T_0(rf) = rT_0(f)$$

holds for every $f \in A^{-1}$ and for a non-zero real number r since $T_0(-f) = -T_0(f)$.

Applying Lemma 2.1 and (2.3) we see that

$$(2.5) \quad T_0(f+g) = T_0(f) + T_0(g)$$

holds for every pair f and g in A^{-1} whenever $(1-r)f + rg \in A^{-1}$ holds for every $0 \leq r \leq 1$. In particular (2.5) holds if $f, g \in \Omega_A$, where

$$\Omega_A = \{a \in A : \|a - re_A\| < r \text{ holds for some positive real number } r\}$$

is a convex subset of A^{-1} .

Define the map $\tilde{T}_0 : A \rightarrow B$ by $\tilde{T}_0(0) = 0$ and

$$\tilde{T}_0(f) = T_0(f + 2\|f\|e_A) - T_0(2\|f\|e_A)$$

for a non-zero $f \in A$. The map $\tilde{T}_0$ is well-defined since $f + 2\|f\|e_A$ and $2\|f\|e_A$ are in Ω_A for every non-zero $f \in A$ and T_0 is defined on $A^{-1} \supset \Omega_A$. If, in particular, $f \in \Omega_A$, then $T_0(f + 2\|f\|e_A) = T_0(f) + T_0(2\|f\|e_A)$ holds, so that

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{T}_0(f) = T_0(f)$$

holds.

We will show that $\tilde{T}_0$ is real-linear. Let $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Then $f + re_A \in \Omega_A$ for every $r \geq 2\|f\|$, whence by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(f + 2\|f\|e_A) + T_0(re_A) \\ = T_0(f + 2\|f\|e_A + re_A) = T_0(f + re_A) + T_0(2\|f\|e_A), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{T}_0(f) = T_0(f + re_A) - T_0(re_A)$$

holds for every $r \geq 2\|f\|$. Let $f, g \in A$. Then $\tilde{T}_0(f + g) = \tilde{T}_0(f) + \tilde{T}_0(g)$ holds if $f = 0$ or $g = 0$. Suppose that $f \neq 0$ and $g \neq 0$. Then by (2.5) and (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_0(f + g) &= T_0(f + g + 2\|f\|e_A + 2\|g\|e_A) - T_0(2\|f\|e_A + 2\|g\|e_A) \\ &= T_0(f + 2\|f\|e_A) + T_0(g + 2\|g\|e_A) - T_0(2\|f\|e_A) - T_0(2\|g\|e_A) \\ &= \tilde{T}_0(f) + \tilde{T}_0(g) \end{aligned}$$

holds. If $f = 0$ or $r = 0$ then $\tilde{T}_0(rf) = r\tilde{T}_0(f)$. Suppose that $f \neq 0$ and $r \neq 0$. If $r > 0$, then by (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_0(rf) &= T_0(rf + 2\|rf\|e_A) - T_0(2\|rf\|e_A) \\ &= T_0(r(f + 2\|f\|e_A)) - T_0(r2\|f\|e_A) \\ &= rT_0(f + 2\|f\|e_A) - rT_0(2\|f\|e_A) = r\tilde{T}_0(f) \end{aligned}$$

If $r < 0$, then

$$\tilde{T}_0(rf) = (-r)(T_0(-f + 2\|f\|e_A) - T_0(2\|f\|e_A)).$$

Since $-f + 2\|f\|e_A, f + 2\|f\|e_A \in \Omega_A$ we have

$$T_0(-f + 2\|f\|e_A) - T_0(2\|f\|e_A) = -T_0(f + 2\|f\|e_A) + T_0(2\|f\|e_A).$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{T}_0(rf) = (-r)(-T_0(f + 2\|f\|e_A) + T_0(2\|f\|e_A)) = r\tilde{T}_0(f).$$

We will show that $\tilde{T}_0$ is surjective. Let $a \in B$. Then

$$(T_0(e_A))^{-1}a + re_B \in \Omega_B \subset B^{-1},$$

so

$$a + T_0(re_A) = a + rT_0(e_A) \in B^{-1}$$

holds whenever $\|(T_0(e_A))^{-1}a\| < r$ and $\|a\| < r$. We also have

$$\|T_0^{-1}(a + T_0(re_A)) - r\| = \|a + T_0(re_A) - T_0(re_A)\| < r,$$

thus $T_0^{-1}(a+T_0(re_A)) \in \Omega_A$ holds whenever $\|(T_0(e_A))^{-1}a\| < r$ and $\|a\| < r$. Let $f = T_0^{-1}(a+T_0(re_A)) - re_A \in A$. Then $f+re_A = T_0^{-1}(a+T_0(re_A)) \in \Omega_A$. Hence by (2.5) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(f+re_A) + T_0(2\|f\|e_A) \\ = T_0(f+2\|f\|e_A+re_A) = T_0(f+2\|f\|e_A) + T_0(re_A), \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$a = T_0(f+re_A) - T_0(re_A) = T_0(f+2\|f\|e_A) - T_0(2\|f\|e_A) = \tilde{T}_0(f).$$

We will show that $\tilde{T}_0$ is an isometry. Since $\tilde{T}_0$ is linear, it is sufficient to show that $\|\tilde{T}_0(f)\| = \|f\|$ for every $f \in A$. If $f = 0$, the equation clearly holds. Suppose that $f \neq 0$. Then

$$\|\tilde{T}_0(f)\| = \|T_0(f+2\|f\|e_A) - T_0(2\|f\|e_A)\| = \|f+2\|f\|e_A - 2\|f\|e_A\| = \|f\|$$

hold.

We will show that $\tilde{T}_0$ is an extension of T_0 , i.e., $\tilde{T}_0(f) = T_0(f)$ for every $f \in A^{-1}$. Let $P = \tilde{T}_0^{-1} \circ T_0 : A^{-1} \rightarrow A$. For every $a \in A^{-1}$,

$$(2.8) \quad P(a+2\|a\|e_A) = a+2\|a\|e_A$$

holds for $a+2\|a\|e_A \in \Omega_A$ and $T_0 = \tilde{T}_0$ on Ω_A by (2.6). Since $T_0(-f) = -T_0(f)$ holds for every $f \in A^{-1}$ and $\tilde{T}_0^{-1}$ is real-linear, we see that

$$(2.9) \quad P(a-2\|a\|e_A) = -P((-a)+2\| -a\|e_A) = a-2\|a\|e_A$$

holds for every $a \in A^{-1}$.

We will show that

$$P(a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A) = a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A$$

holds for every $a \in A^{-1}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|t(a+2\|a\|e_A) + (1-t)(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A) - 2(t \pm (1-t)i)\|a\|e_A\| \\ = t\|a\| < 2|t \pm (1-t)i|\|a\| \end{aligned}$$

hold

$$t(a+2\|a\|e_A) + (1-t)(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A) \in A^{-1}$$

holds for every $0 \leq t \leq 1$ we see by (2.5) that

$$T_0(a+2\|a\|e_A) + T_0(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A) = T_0(a+2\|a\|e_A \pm 2i\|a\|e_A).$$

In a way similar we have

$$T_0(a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A) + T_0(2\|a\|e_A) = T_0(a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A + 2\|a\|e_A),$$

hence

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_0(a) &= T_0(a + 2\|a\|e_A) - T_0(2\|a\|e_A) \\ &= T_0(a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A) - T_0(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A) \end{aligned}$$

holds for every $a \in A^{-1}$. For every $0 \leq t \leq 1$

$$t(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A) + (1-t)4\|a\|e_A \in A^{-1}$$

holds, hence

$$T_0(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A + 4\|a\|e_A) = T_0(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A) + T_0(4\|a\|e_A),$$

so that

$$T_0(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A) = T_0(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A + 4\|a\|e_A) - T_0(4\|a\|e_A) = \tilde{T}_0(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A).$$

Thus we see by (2.10) that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} P(a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A) &= \tilde{T}_0^{-1}(T_0(a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A)) \\ &= \tilde{T}_0^{-1}(\tilde{T}_0(a) + T_0(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A)) \\ &= \tilde{T}_0^{-1}(\tilde{T}_0(a) + \tilde{T}_0(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A)) = a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A \end{aligned}$$

holds for every $a \in A^{-1}$ since $\tilde{T}_0$ is real-linear. Applying (2.8) and (2.9)

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\|a\| &= \|a \pm 2\|a\|e_A - a\| = \|P(a \pm 2\|a\|e_A) - P(a)\| \\ &= \|a \pm 2\|a\|e_A - P(a)\| = \|P(a) - a \pm 2\|a\|e_A\| \end{aligned}$$

holds for every $a \in A^{-1}$. In a same way we have by (2.11) that

$$(2.13) \quad 2\|a\| = \|P(a) - a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A\|$$

holds for every $a \in A^{-1}$. For an element $b \in B$ the numerical range of b is denoted by $W(b)$. By (2.13) and [9, Lemma 2.6.3]

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup\{\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) : \lambda \in W(P(a) - a)\} \\ &= \inf_{t>0} t^{-1}(\|e_A - it(P(a) - a)\| - 1) \\ &\leq 2\|a\|(\|e_A - \frac{i}{2\|a\|}(P(a) - a)\| - 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $W(-P(a) + a) = -W(P(a) - a)$ we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &-\inf\{\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) : \lambda \in W(P(a) - a)\} \\ &= \sup\{\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) : \lambda \in W(-P(a) + a)\} \\ &= \inf_{t>0} t^{-1}(\|e_A - it(-P(a) + a)\| - 1) \\ &\leq 2\|a\|(\|e_A + \frac{i}{2\|a\|}(P(a) - a)\| - 1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that

$$W(P(a) - a) \subset \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the set of real numbers. Applying (2.12) and [9, Lemma 2.6.3] in a same way we see that

$$iW(P(a) - a) = W(i(P(a) - a)) \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that

$$W(P(a) - a) = \{0\}.$$

Since

$$\|P(a) - a\| \leq e\|P(a) - a\|_W$$

holds (cf. [9, Theorem 2.6.4]), where $\|\cdot\|_W$ denotes the numerical radius, we see that $P(a) = a$ holds for every $a \in A^{-1}$.

Since $T(a) = T_0(a) + u_0$ for $a \in A^{-1}$ by the definition of T_0 , we conclude that $T(a) = \tilde{T}_0(a) + u_0$ holds for every $a \in A^{-1}$. $\square$

3. MULTIPLICATIVITY OR ANTIMULTIPLICATIVITY OF ISOMETRIES

We proved the following in [2]. The proof involves much about commutativity and semisimplicity of the given Banach algebra A .

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a unital semisimple commutative Banach algebra and B a unital Banach algebra. Suppose $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ are open subgroups of A^{-1} and B^{-1} respectively. Suppose that T is a surjective isometry from $\mathfrak{A}$ onto $\mathfrak{B}$. Then B is a semisimple and commutative, and $(T(e_A))^{-1}T$ is extended to an isometrical real algebra isomorphism from A onto B . In particular, A^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B^{-1} as a metrizable group.*

In the following comparison result as the above we make use of Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 3.2. *Let A be a unital commutative Banach algebra and B a semisimple Banach algebra. Suppose that T is a surjective isometry from A^{-1} onto B^{-1} . Then $(T(e_A))^{-1}T$ is extended to a surjective isometrical real algebra isomorphism from A onto B . Moreover, A is semisimple and B is commutative. In particular, A^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B^{-1} as a metrizable group.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 there is a $u_0 \in \text{rad}(B)$ such that $T - u_0$ is extended to a real-linear isometry from A onto B . Since B is semisimple (cf. [1, Theorem 2.5.8]), $u_0 = 0$, hence T is extended to a surjective real-linear isometry $\tilde{T}$ from A onto B since B is semisimple. We will show that A is semisimple. Let $a \in \text{rad}(A)$ and let $T_a : A^{-1} \rightarrow B^{-1}$ be defined as

$T_a(b) = T(a + b)$ for $b \in A^{-1}$. Then T_a is well-defined and a surjective isometry since $a + A^{-1} = A^{-1}$ for $a \in \text{rad}(A)$. By Theorem 2.4 T_a is also extended to a surjective real-linear isometry $\tilde{T}_a$ from A onto B . For every positive integer

$$\tilde{T}_a\left(\frac{e_A}{n}\right) = T_a\left(\frac{e_A}{n}\right) = T\left(a + \frac{e_A}{n}\right) = \tilde{T}\left(a + \frac{e_A}{n}\right)$$

holds. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$0 = \tilde{T}(a),$$

hence $a = 0$ for $\tilde{T}$ is injective. It follows that $\text{rad}(A) = \{0\}$, or A is semisimple. Then by Theorem 3.1 the conclusion holds. $\square$

The hypothesis that B is semisimple in Corollary 3.2 is essential as the following example (cf. [2]) shows that a unital isometry from A^{-1} onto B^{-1} need not be multiplicative nor antimultiplicative unless at least one of A or B are semisimple.

Example 3.3. Let

$$A_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Let

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a & b \\ 0 & \alpha & c \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

be the unitization of A_0 , where the multiplication (in A_0) is the zero multiplication; $MN = 0$ for every $M, N \in A_0$. Let $B = A$ as sets, while the multiplication in B is the usual multiplication for matrices. Then A and B are unital Banach algebras under the usual operator norm. Note that A is commutative and A nor B are not semisimple. Note also that $A^{-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a & b \\ 0 & \alpha & c \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in A : \alpha \neq 0 \right\}$ and $B^{-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a & b \\ 0 & \alpha & c \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in B : \alpha \neq 0 \right\}$. Define $T : A^{-1} \rightarrow B^{-1}$ by $T(M) = M$. Then T is well-defined and a surjective isometry. On the other hand A^{-1} is not (group) isomorphic to B^{-1} , in particular, T is not multiplicative nor antimultiplicative.

We show a positive result for standard operator algebras.

Corollary 3.4. *Let X (resp. Y) be a Banach space. Suppose that A (resp. B) is a unital closed subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$), the Banach algebra of all the bounded operators on X (resp. Y), which contains all finite rank operators. Suppose that T is a surjective isometry from A^{-1} onto B^{-1} . Then there exists an invertible bounded linear or conjugate linear operator $U : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $T(a) = T(e_A)UaU^{-1}$ for every $a \in A^{-1}$, or there exists an invertible bounded linear or conjugate linear operator $V : X^* \rightarrow Y$ such*

that $T(a) = T(e_A)Va^*V^{-1}$ for every $a \in A^{-1}$. In particular, if T is unital in the sense that $T(e_A) = e_B$, then T is multiplicative or antimultiplicative.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 there is a $u_0 \in \text{rad}(B)$ such that $T - u_0$ is extended to a real-linear isometry $\tilde{T}_0$ from A onto B . Since B is semisimple (cf. [1, Theorem 2.5.8]), $u_0 = 0$, hence $\tilde{T}_0 = T$ on A^{-1} . Thus $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0$ additive surjection such that $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0(A^{-1}) = B^{-1}$. Applying Theorem 3.2 in [4] for $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0$, there exists an invertible bounded linear or conjugate linear operator $U : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0(a) = UaU^{-1}$ ($a \in A$), or there exists an invertible bounded linear or conjugate linear operator $V : X^* \rightarrow Y$ such that $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0(a) = Va^*V^{-1}$ ($a \in A$). Henceforth the conclusion holds. $\square$

Let M_n be the algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over the complex number field. For $M \in M_n$ the spectrum is denoted by $\sigma(M)$ and M^t is the transpose of M . E denotes the identity matrix. Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ denote any matrix norms on M_n (cf. [3]).

Corollary 3.5. *If S is a surjection from the group M_n^{-1} of the invertible $n \times n$ matrices over the complex number field onto itself such that $\|S(M) - S(N)\|' = \|M - N\|$ for all $M, N \in M_n^{-1}$, then there exists an invertible matrix $U \in M_n$ such that $S(M) = S(E)UMU^{-1}$ for all $M \in M_n^{-1}$, or $S(M) = S(E)UM^tU^{-1}$ for all $M \in M_n^{-1}$, or $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}U^{-1}$ for all $M \in M_n^{-1}$, or $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}^tU^{-1}$ for all $M \in M_n^{-1}$ hold. In particular, if S is unital, then S is multiplicative or antimultiplicative.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.4 there is an invertible matrix U such that one of the following four occurs.

- (1) $S(M) = S(E)UMU^{-1}$ holds for every $M \in M_n^{-1}$,
- (2) $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}U^{-1}$ holds for every $M \in M_n^{-1}$,
- (3) $S(M) = S(E)UM^tU^{-1}$ holds for every $M \in M_n^{-1}$,
- (4) $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}^tU^{-1}$ holds for every $M \in M_n^{-1}$.

Henceforth the conclusion holds. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. G. Dales, "Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity", London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 24. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000
- [2] O. Hatori, *Isometries between groups of invertible elements in Banach algebras*, to appear
- [3] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, "Matrix analysis Corrected reprint of the 1985 original", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990

- [4] J. Hou and J. Cui, *Additive maps on standard operator algebras preserving invertibilities or zero divisors*, Linear Algebra Appl., **359**(2003), 219–233
- [5] K. Jarosz, *The uniqueness of multiplication in function algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **89**(1983), 249–253
- [6] K. Jarosz, *Isometries in semisimple, commutative Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **94**(1985), 65–71
- [7] K. Jarosz, "Perturbations of Banach algebras", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.1120 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985
- [8] M. Nagasawa, *Isomorphisms between commutative Banach algebras with an application to rings of analytic functions*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., **11**(1959), 182–188
- [9] T. W. Palmer, "Banach algebras and the general theory of *-algebras. Vol. I. Algebras and Banach algebras", Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-2181 JAPAN

E-mail address: hatori@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp